Factors Related to Parental Well Being in Children with Cancer
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Abstract-Introduction: Parents of children with cancer experience a challenging situation in coping with the child’s diagnosis and changes that the illness puts in the overall family condition. Method: A cross-sectional study on 79 parents was conducted in Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia. Socio-demographic information was obtained. Participants completed a set questionnaire measuring their level of anxiety and stress, knowledge about cancer, and amount of activities they perform with or for the child to enhance the child’s coping abilities. Children’s psychological problems were also assessed through parental reports. Results: Parents with higher cancer knowledge reported reduced stress (p<0.01) and anxiety (p<0.05). The higher the income (p<0.05) and education (p<0.01), the higher cancer knowledge. Parental stress was negatively correlated with income (p<0.05) and education (p<0.01), indicating that the better educated and higher the salary, less stress symptoms. Highly educated parents engaged in more activities with their children (p<0.05). Parental anxiety was correlated significantly with children’s current treatment including chemotherapy procedure (p<0.01), ‘In-patient’ Vs ‘Outpatient’ (p<0.01), and children’s condition (p<0.01). Parents of hospitalized children who underwent chemotherapy were significantly more anxious than their counterparts. Parents who perceived their children’s current condition as ‘very good’, reported reduced anxiety, compared to those who reported their child’s condition as ‘ok’. The more psychological problems the children had, the higher parental anxiety (p<0.05) and stress symptoms (p<0.01). Discussion: Some groups of parents had more psychological difficulties compared to others. Ongoing psychological assessment and intervention may reduce parental stress by increasing coping and reducing children psychological problems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Childhood cancer is an increasing and prevalent type of chronic illness worldwide. In Malaysia for example, National Cancer Registry Report indicated that approximately 37 in every 100,000 Malaysian children aged 0 to 15 years are at risk of developing cancer with a slightly higher incidence in males compared to females [1]. With a total population of 27.2 million as in May 2008 [2], 1,500 children are expected to be diagnosed with cancer every year. Whilst childhood cancer makes up only slightly more than 5% of the total number of newly reported cancer cases every year, it is a significant cause of child mortality in the country [3] and the incidence is increasing.

Numerous studies have reported the negative effects of childhood cancer on parents emotional and physical functioning. Pai et al. [4] reviewed 29 articles on the psychological effects of childhood cancer on parents and concluded that they experienced significantly more distress as opposed to a normative group of parents. They reported to experience poorer physical and psychosocial quality of life [5, 6]. The majority of studies suggest that, at some point of time, the parents display a range of emotional challenges especially anxiety and stress symptoms [7] and these two were found to be significantly correlated [8].

There were differences in parental anxiety and quality of life when additional factors are taken into account. For example, anxiety was found to be more prevalent in parents whose children are in active treatment than otherwise [9]. In particular, parents whose children had higher treatment intensity, poorer child health status and shorter time since diagnosis reported poorer quality of life than counterparts [6]. Parents of relapsed children reported higher anxiety levels than parents of surviving and deceased children[10].

It has been established that parents’ emotional and behavioral functioning may affect the child’s psychological functioning. Highly distressed parents are more likely to have highly distressed children, and vice versa. In pediatric oncology research, similar relationships are evident. Robinson and associates [11] identified significant associations between mothers’ and fathers’ distress and their reports of their child internalizing symptoms including depression and anxiety. Parents’ distress prior to their children undergoing cancer treatment procedures were found to be positively correlated with children’s report of pain, whereas parents’ concern was negatively associated with children’s reported pain and distress [12]. Likewise, there is also an association between parent and child distress outcomes. Specifically, a child’s negative functioning can adversely affect his or her parents’ psychological adjustment. Maternal psychological problems were more frequently identified among mothers whose children displayed problematic behavior and emotions compared to those children who demonstrated well-functioning behavior. For example, a systematic review that sought to understand care giving experiences in parents of children with cancer, revealed that poorer caregiver psychological well-being were associated with a number of child characteristics including...
behavior problems, anxiety, depression, and emotional or somatic distress [5]. In short, parent and child could both influence each other’s functioning.

In addition to emotional distress, parents’ functional activities can also be affected. A recent review on the impact of childhood cancer on parents’ marital relationship suggests negative changes in parents’ relationships, communication, stress, and roles [13]. Multiple sources of stress, changes in the relationship, in addition to other factors like lack of information about the illness or having little knowledge to help the child cope with the current situation [14,15] make the parenting even more challenging.

Previous study has linked knowledge about the illness and psychological distress [16, 17]. A descriptive study about the level of knowledge in parents of children with cancer indicates that most parents demonstrated a high level of overall pediatric cancer knowledge [18]. The knowledge was significantly associated with educational level and ethnicity, but not with parents’ problem-solving ability. In opposition to Orringer et al [17] and Bounduki et al [16], the study indicated that cancer knowledge did not contribute to the variance in psychological adjustment such as depression and mood states.

Therefore, this present study seeks to further examine the relationships between parental knowledge about childhood cancer, their level of anxiety, stress, activities with children, children’s problems and selected socio-demographic variables.

II. Method

A. Participants

Ethical approval was granted by Research and Ethics Committees of University of South Australia (UniSA) and Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (HUSM) a government-hospital providing specialized services to Malaysians residing on the north-east coast. A total of 106 parents whose children were undergoing or who had received cancer treatment at HUSM were approached from October 2006 to June 2007. Parents of terminally-ill children diagnosed during the study period were excluded. Seventy-nine parents (75 %) agreed to participate - all were Muslim, Malay and married (100%). Sixty percent were mothers and 58% claimed receiving adequate family support. The majority of the participants had obtained at least 12 years of education (64%), earned between RM501.00 to RM 3000.00(58%) and were housewives (48%). As for the children, 67% (Mean age 8.03) were males. The majority of them were diagnosed with leukemia (66%) within a period of 7 - 36 months (Mean = 39.6 months) (52%). During the data collection, 54% were hospitalized for chemotherapy.

B. Tools

- The Cancer Knowledge (CK) questionnaire was constructed based on questionnaires developed in previous studies [18, 19]. A pediatric oncologist examined the items’ content validity that resulted in the elimination of one item (i.e. number of hospitals that provide pediatric oncology treatment in Malaysia). The final version of CK consisted of 11 questions about childhood cancer and its management such as: There are ..... types of tumors and Chemotherapy is a treatment that uses ..... Parents completed sentences by choosing 1 of 3 answer choices, 1 point for each correct answer. Higher scores indicated greater cancer knowledge.

- State Anxiety (SA) is a subscale of a reliable, widely-used instrument, The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [20] which consists of 20 statements measuring respondent’s present feelings ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (very much so). Higher score indicates greater anxiety levels (Range: 20-80). Validation of STAI on a Malaysian sample revealed a high internal consistency (Cronbach alpha = 0.86) [21]. Coefficient alpha in our sample was 0.93.

- Strain Questionnaires (SQ) is a 48-item test measuring physical, behavioural, and cognitive symptoms of stress [22] on a scale of 1 (not at all) to 5 (everyday) whereby higher scores that indicates greater stress. Coefficient alpha in the present sample was 0.94.

- Parents’ Activities with Children (PA) is a list of 29 behavioral activities that parents may perform with or for the child to enhance the child’s coping abilities. It was developed from an extensive review on parents’ involvement in caring for sick children with reference to Melnyk’s Index of Parent Participation at Hospital [23]. Behaviours proven by research to be helpful to the child’s coping such as cuddling, distracting and coaching deep breathing [24, 25] were listed. To strengthen content validity, the original list of 32 items was examined by 6 specialists including clinical psychologists, paediatricians and paediatric nurses, who suggested modifications. Three items were removed and some statements reworded to facilitate reader’s comprehension. One point was given to ‘Yes’ response and 0 point for other responses (i.e. ‘No, Don’t Want to Perform, or No Opportunity to Perform’). ‘Yes’ answers were summed, the highest possible being 29, indicating more activities. Face validity of the PA list was established in an earlier pilot study with 5 parents of children with cancer. Coefficient alpha in the current sample was 0.87.

- Strength and Difficulties Questionnaires (SDQ) mainly assesses children’s emotional and behavioural problems [26]. Parents responded to items like my child seems worried, on a Likert 3-point scale where 0 = Not True; 1 = Somewhat True; and 2 = Certainly True. A preliminary BM version of SDQ as provided by the author [27] was revised by a qualified translator, who also translated the SDQ for this study. The Cronbach alpha for 20 negative items ranged from 0.51 – 0.66.

C. Procedure

Participants were recruited from oncology clinics, wards and through telephone calls. After informed consent,
participants completed the assessment measures with the assistance of the researchers.

D. Analyses

The relationships between five variables (i.e. CK, SA, SQ, PA and SDQ) were investigated using Pearson Product Moment correlations. Supplementary correlation analyses were conducted between those variables and socio-demographic characteristics. Finally, the factors predicting stress symptoms among the parents of children with cancer were specifically examined, using a binary logistic regression analysis.

III. RESULTS

The range of correlations among the major variables was -0.24 to 0.51. Parents with more knowledge in childhood cancer indicated reduced scores on SQ (r = -0.24) and SA (r = -0.36). SQ were found to be positively correlated with both SA (r = 0.36), and SDQ (r = 0.51) indicating that the more psychological problems the children had, the higher parental anxiety and stress symptoms were reported. Parents’ activities with the children’s scores were not significantly correlated with any other variables. Results are presented in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CK</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>SDQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender+</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income+</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education+</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.30*</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Children</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support+</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were positive significant correlations between the CK and participants’ income (r = 0.28) and level of education (r = 0.32), suggesting the higher the income and education level, the higher scores they obtain in CK, and vice versa. By contrast, SQ was found to be negatively correlated with both income (r = 0.28) and education (r = 0.30), indicating that the better educated and higher the salary, fewer stress symptoms were reported. Parents with higher education engaged in more coping-activities with their children (r = 0.26).

The five major variables were then correlated with children’s socio-demographic characteristics (see Table III). There were moderate correlations between SA and children’s current treatment such as ‘On’ Vs ‘Off’ Chemotherapy (r = -0.35) ‘In-patient’ Vs ‘Outpatient’ (r = -0.33), and children’s condition as perceived by their parents (r = -0.41). Additional comparison analyses using independent t-tests were run on these significantly correlated variables, and revealed that parents of children who were in hospital and undergoing chemotherapy treatment were significantly more anxious than their counterparts. In addition, parents who perceived their children’s current condition as ‘very good’, reported less anxiety, compared to those who reported their child’s condition as ‘ok’.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CK</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>SDQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender+</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.29*</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.28*</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-patient</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.33**</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition+</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.41**</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional analyses were conducted to examine factors predicting high levels of stress among the participants (see Table IV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variab le</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>S.E.</th>
<th>Wald</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Odd s rati o</th>
<th>CI Lower-Higher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender+</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income+</td>
<td>0.28*</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education+</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.30*</td>
<td>0.26*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Children</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support+</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variables entered on step 1 = SDQ (Strength Difficulties Questionnaires), SA (State Anxiety), CK (Cancer Knowledge), Income, and Education Level.
The dependent variable measuring the stress level was SQ. For the purpose of this analysis, we assigned the SQ as equal to 0 if the parents scored ≤ 85 (Low stress group) and 1 for those scored ≥ 86 (High stress group). The binary logistic regression model was performed to estimate factors that influence the high stress group. The model consists of five independent variables, which had been identified to be significantly correlated with SQ namely SDQ, CK, SA, Income and Education Levels. The results from the model indicate that parents reported high stress according to bidirectional relationships of children’s and parents’ psychological functioning, as seen in previous literature. The coefficient on the SDQ variable is positive and statistically significant at the p < 0.01 (Wald statistics = 7.39). Parents whose children had behavioral and emotional problems were 1 ½ times more likely to report high stress [Odds ratio = 1.5, 95% CI = 1.13 – 2.10]. Similarly, parents who had high anxiety were marginally more likely to report high stress [Odds ratio = 1.02, 95% CI = 1.02 – 1.17] as indicated by the positive and significant SA coefficient, statistically significant at p < .05 (Wald statistics = 6.34). The overall model is significant at p < .001 [χ² (4, N = 54) = 36.5], indicating that the model was able to distinguish between high and low stress groups. This five-factor model as a whole explained 65% (Nagelkerke R²) of the variance in stress status. Finally, the model is able to correctly classify 87% of the cases in either high and low stress group, and thus is acceptable for use in predicting factors related to high level of stress.

In summary, the study examines the correlation of major outcome variables with each other and with socio-demographic variables. The findings suggest some significant inter-relationships. For instance, higher levels of education and income were closely linked to higher scores in knowledge. Higher or more knowledge is also associated with less stress and anxiety. The level of anxiety, in particular, was partly dependent on the children’s condition during the time of assessment. For example, parents whose children were not hospitalized and not undergoing chemotherapy were significantly less anxious than their counterparts. Higher anxiety, on the other hand, was correlated with higher children’s problems and stress. Finally, a high level of stress was predicted mostly by children’s problems. As such, parents who reported their children as having psychological problems were 1.5 times more likely to be classified in the high stress group.

IV. DISCUSSION

This study, the first of its kind in Malaysia supported the hypotheses of significant negative association between cancer knowledge, anxiety and stress symptoms in parents of children with cancer. The higher the participants’ knowledge acquisition scores, the less anxiety and symptoms of stress were reported. These findings are consistent with previous studies indicating an inverse association between psychological distress and illness-related knowledge [16, 17]. It is found that amongst key problems faced by parents whose children are affected by cancer include uncertainty, which is associated with anxiety and post-traumatic stress symptoms [28]. Parents often become uncertain about the controllability of cancer and the complexity of information related to illness and treatments. Thus, providing individuals with adequate information has been demonstrated to reduce uncertainty and to facilitate improved adjustment and coping [29]. Greater knowledge may serve to decrease parental anxiety and distress by helping them to regain a sense of control over circumstances surrounding their children’s illness. Findings from a qualitative study on the effect of childhood cancer in Hong Kong Chinese families suggested that the fear of disease was often related to lack of knowledge rather than the disease itself [30]. This study claimed that knowing more about the disease did not necessarily result in increased fear, but improved the parents’ psychological well-being. Therefore, in the present study, higher levels of knowledge may have increased parental sense of control over the situation, and thus decreased their state of anxiety and symptoms of stress. Interestingly, the results showed that the greater the knowledge, the more the parents were involved with their child’s activities. However, the correlation did not reach significance.

The results in our study showed that better educated individuals scored higher in cancer knowledge as participants with a higher level of education scored higher in CK compared to participants with secondary and primary educational levels. CK was also found to be positively and significantly correlated with participants’ socio-economic status as measured by monthly household income. These results are interrelated because those who are better educated are more likely to have higher paid employment. This finding is consistent with previous studies which have also found the level of knowledge about illness tend to be lower among the less educated individuals [18, 31].

With regard to anxiety, participants’ levels of anxiety correlated significantly with children’s current treatments and medical conditions, as perceived by their parents. Group comparison analyses confirmed that parents whose children were in the hospital and receiving chemotherapy were significantly more anxious compared to their counterparts. These findings are consistent with previous studies that indicate that having a hospitalized child at the time of assessment [32] or a child having active treatment such as chemotherapy [33] is associated with more psychological difficulties in the parents, including anxiety. It is no surprise that there was a strong relationship between these factors. Parents whose children are in active treatment, such as chemotherapy, have to face many challenging and anxiety-provoking situations. Parents must deal with their own fear about the uncertainty of the illness and to witness the child enduring the bio-medical effects of the treatment, including pain, aversive procedures (e.g., injections), complex medical regimens, as well as the side-effects of therapy, such as nausea, vomiting, and changes in their child’s physical appearance [34]. Furthermore, parents must learn to help the child cope with feelings of fear, anger, or social isolation that are often associated with lengthy hospitalization as required in the treatment of chemotherapy. At the same time, they must maintain their roles as parents to the other children at
home. As all their time and attention are focused on the sick child at the hospital, these situations are difficult to manage.

Moreover, the results indicated that parental perception of the children’s current condition affects the state of anxiety. Parents, who perceived that their children’s condition during the assessment was very good, reported significantly lower levels of anxiety than those who perceive their children’s condition as ‘ok’. It is possible that this may be related to an optimism/pessimism temperament factor that was not measured in this study.

Further analyses were conducted to uncover significant factors that were related to parental stress. A correlation analysis between parental stress symptoms and all possible independent variables revealed that stress symptoms were significantly correlated, and thus may be affected by or from multiple factors including state of anxiety, cancer knowledge, income, education levels, and children’s behavioral problems. In particular, high levels of stress on parents in this study were significantly predicted by SA and SDQ, the latter being a main predictor of high parental stress. This study showed that parents who had children with behavioral and emotional problems were 1.5 times more likely to belong in the high stress group. The association between behavioral problems in chronically ill children and parental stress has been established [35] including in Malaysian parents [36]. Indeed, some studies suggest that children’s behavioral problems may be a prominent source of stress for the parents, compared to the child’s disability [35, 37]. This present finding provides additional support to Klassen [5] which identified child characteristics as one of the most important variable in their care giving process and caregiver burden model.

In summary, the results have shown that the stress symptoms were found to be predicted largely by children’s behavioral problems. Higher levels of knowledge were seen in parents with higher education levels and financial status. Parents with a higher level of cancer knowledge also reported less anxiety and stress. There were groups of individuals who were more vulnerable and reported more psychological difficulties compared to others (i.e. had lower levels of education, income and more children at home). This group of people reported more psychological distress, such as stress and anxiety. In addition, parents whose children were hospitalized, receiving chemotherapy and were perceived as being only in reasonably good condition were more anxious than those whose children were not in active treatment and perceived as in a very good medical condition. These parents may be at higher risk of developing psychological problems and they could be identified earlier through psychological screening. The Psychosocial Assessment Tool (PAT) which screens families of children with cancer for potential risks for elevated distress during treatment is an example of available tool [38]. Intensive psychological intervention could then be offered to the most distress subgroups as selective and targeted intervention could minimize cost and resources in psychological services which are fairly limited especially in Malaysian government hospitals.

V. LIMITATIONS

Self-report measure as the only method of assessment used in this study could limit the findings. Whilst it is preferable to gather information from multiple resources, the self-report measure was selected as a logistically suitable method for the pilot community-based study, such as the present one. Whilst the participants represent the local Kelantanese population that consists of 94.2% Malays and 95.0% Muslims [39], the group may not entirely represent the Malaysian population overall which consists of Malay, Chinese and Indian ethnic divisions and different religious beliefs. Generalizing the findings to broader ethnic backgrounds or religious groups may be problematic due to a relatively small sample of single race and religion. Therefore, these findings should be considered within that context. Despite these methodological limitations, the importance of this study as the first of its kind in a pediatric oncology setting at a Malaysian government hospital should not be underestimated.
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