A Study of Global Staffing Systems in Iranian Organizations

Mohammad Reza Noruzi ¹, Jonathan H. Westover²

¹ Islamic Azad University, Bonab, Iran, mr.noruzi.pnu@gmail.com,

Abstract. This paper aims to (1) discuss the benefits and obstacles of global staffing systems, and (2) provide a general Iranian labor review, and (3) discuss effective IHRM staffing in the Iranian context.

Keywords: Effective IHRM Staffing, Global Staffing, Staffing in Iran, Benefits and obstacles of Global Staffing System

1. Global Staffing

1.1. Obstacles and Benefits of Implementing a Global Staffing System

First, it is important to note the obstacles and benefits of implementing a global staffing system

| Obstacles to a Global Staffing System | Benefits of Global Staffing | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Legal requirements across countries/regions | | | |
| Educational systems across countries/regions Economic conditions across countries/regions Ability to acquire and use technology Labor market variations Value differences across cultures Availability of off-the-shelf translated tools Level of HR experience varies across regions Role of HR in hiring varies across regions Familiarity with a tool or practice varies Misperceptions that something is a cultural difference Limited local resources for implementation Beliefs about whether a global system is U.S centric or imposed | Global database of qualified talent Quick identification of candidates to meet needs of a specific location Provision of a consistent message about the company to candidates worldwide Quality of all hires is ensured Better understanding of country/regional needs by all HR Global succession planning is enabled Global HR personnel have access to the latest versions of products/tools Shared vision of HR globally Comparisons of staffing results across locations Global database as an internal benchmark of achievement in different parts of the world | | |
| | | | |

Adapted from Wiechmann & et al, 2003, p.82

Figure 1: Obstacles and Benefits of Implementing a Global Staffing System

² Assistant Professor of Business Utah Valley University, jonathan.westover@uvu.edu

1.2. Development of HRM

Ryan and his colleagues (2003) continued the work of Wiechmann and his colleagues regarding implementing good global staffing systems. In summery, they found that designing and implementing global staffing systems is a challenge, but also that an integrative approach enables companies to be better at staffing around the world. Figure 2 below provides a brief summary of the best and worst practices they outline. The best practices outlined here represent the collective wisdom of a great deal of experience with a wide variety of staffing practices and tools and in a wide variety of countries (Ryan et al., 2003, p.93).

| Do | Don't |
|--|---|
| Work within existing local systems Create a strong corporate culture Create a global network for system development— global input is critical Treat local people as equal partners in system development Assess common elements across geographies Focus on what to measure and allow flexibility in how to measure Allow for local additions beyond core elements Differentiate when necessary Train local people to make good decisions about which tools to use and how to do so Communicate, communicate, and communicate! Dedicate resources for global HR efforts Know, or have access to someone who knows, the legal requirements in each country | Try to do everything the same way everywhere Yield to every claim that "we're different"—make them prove it • Force a global system on local people Use local people just for implementation Use the same tools globally, unless you can show that they really work and are culturally appropriate Ignore cultural differences Let technology drive your system design—you can't assume every location has the same level of technology investment and access Assume that "if we build it they will come"—you need to market your tools or system and put change management strategies in place |

Adapted from Ryan & et al, 2003, p.93

Figure 2: Necessities to Implementing Global Staffing in MNCs

1.3. Two Perspectives in IHRM

Much of Iran's economy is housed domestically, but as with most countries in the world, Iran is also experiencing increased amounts of economic activity outside of its borders as it tries to grapple with an increasingly globalized economy and the level of Iranian investment in other foreign-based companies is constantly rising. For example, Table 1 below shows that between the years of 1959-1999, Iranian foreign direct investment in foreign-based domestic and MNC's in Turkey rose from 269 in 1959 to 811 in 2007 (Yilmaz, et al, 2008, p.33).

Table 1: Country Distribution of Companies with Foreign Capital in Turkey among Iran and other Countries

| Country | 1954- 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | Jan 2007 |
|----------------|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Iran | 269 | 15 | 19 | 26 | 109 | 131 | 126 | 112 | 811 |
| Iraq | 119 | 10 | 13 | 26 | 40 | 54 | 64 | 78 | 411 |
| Azerbaijan | 63 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 38 | 61 | 63 | 87 | 351 |
| P.R. China | 61 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 46 | 58 | 34 | 27 | 268 |
| South Korea | 34 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 15 | 19 | 18 | 13 | 115 |

Source: (Yilmaz, et al, 2008, p.33).

This one example shows that the Iranian economy acts outsides of its borders more and more than ever before and comparatively at higher levels that other neighboring countries. Furthermore, increasing levels of foreign direct investment in neighboring countries like Turkey requires organizations to adopt a global strategic framework, including this working in various human resource functions, such as staffing, in an effort to maximize global talent.

1.4. Employment Across Economic Sectors

As is the case in other countries, Iran has employment differences across its different economic sectors. According to Javadian (2001), Iran continues to experience positive growth in the private and cooperative sectors, while experiencing negative growth in a public sector. However, the positive gains in the private and cooperative sectors cannot compensate for a growing younger population seeking employment (p.50). Additionally, Table 3 below shows that the private and cooperative sectors are growing more rapidly than the public sector, particularly in more recent years. This is useful for HR managers in private, public and cooperative sectors looking to fit their staffing systems with the country context to be more efficient (Javadin, 2001, p.50).

1976 1986 1996 2006 **Sector** 2001 7,085,679 7,117,480 9,794,168 11,859,240 14,763,427 **Private** 0.04 3.24 2.1 4.48 Growth 3,454,437 4,257,968 4,705,665 5,195,434 **Public** 1,673,092 1.99 Growth 7.52 2.11 2.01 $77,2\overline{04}$ 56,715 113,814 Cooperative

Table 2: Employed Population in Different Sectors in Iran, 1976-2006

Source: Javadin, 2001, p.50

6.36

8.07

1.5. Iranian Labor Statistics

Growth

According to Messmer (2002), the first role of a manager is selecting good staff. Furthermore, having an effective staffing system in today's turbulent global labor market is more necessary than it has been in years past (Messmer, 2002). One of the most important issues for developing countries like Iran is the quality of hiring competent staff for the organizations or enterprises in that county (Atafar & Azerbaijani, 2001, p.38). Table 4 below shows a variety of Iranian labors characteristics. In this table, factors such as the Rigidity of employment Index measures the regulation of employment of Iran in comparison to other countries, specifically as it affects the hiring and firing of workers and the rigidity of working hours, which directly impacts staffing strategies (Nation Master, 2006).

Table3: Iranian Labor Statistics in 2006

| Amount | Country Ranking |
|------------|--|
| 47.14 % | 5 th of 97 |
| 53.71 | 52 nd of 162 |
| 34.2 % | 8 th of 86 |
| 22.8 % | 12 th of 86 |
| 28.4 % | 4 th of 86 |
| 30.9 % | 32 nd of 86 |
| 37.4 % | 55 th of 86 |
| 24.9 % | 12 th of 94 |
| 30.4 % | 10 th of 94 |
| 34 % | 6 th of 156 |
| 27,542,660 | 18 th of 184 |
| 28,700,000 | 18 th of 132 |
| | 47.14 % 53.71 34.2 % 22.8 % 28.4 % 30.9 % 37.4 % 24.9 % 30.4 % 34 % 27,542,660 |

| Labor force by occupation, Industry | 31 % | 3 rd of 29 |
|---|---------|-------------------------|
| Labor force by occupation, services | 45 % | 13 th of 29 |
| Parental Leave, paid maternity leave | 90 days | |
| Rigidity of employment Index | 49 | 48 th of 166 |
| Unemployment rate | 12 % | 10 th of 107 |
| Unemployment, female, % of female labor force | 20.4 % | 9 th of 95 |
| Unemployment, total, % of total | | |
| labor force | 11.6 % | 27 th of 101 |

Source: www.nationmaster.com/country/ir-iran/lab-labor, Accessed online July 17, 2009

Additionally, Table 4 above shows many additional factors that are important to consider when developing a global staffing strategy within a given national context. For example, Iran's level in compensation of employees' indicator is 5th of 97 countries. Additionally, other factors such as the percentage of employees in agriculture, the percentage of female employment, the percentage of employees in industry, and female economic activity growth are all strong compared to other nations. However, in some other factors like economic activity of men aged 65 plus, the percentage of employees in the service sector, and rigidity of employment index are relatively weak within a broader cross-national comparative context. Each of these indicators can be used as a tool for HR managers to design a good staffing system.

2. Conclusion

There are some necessities for implementing and designing a good global staffing system in Iran. Iranian HR managers should work to create a strong global corporate culture, for without a good corporate culture having and designing a global staffing system will not be practical. Additionally, Iranian HR managers seeking to be strategic leaders in a growing global marketplace must work within existing local systems, while working to simultaneously integrate global tools into those local systems. Finally, Iranian HR managers seeking to be successful in the globalization era should develop their ability to be strategic global HR managers (Mir Sepasi, 2004).

3. References

- [1] Atafar, Ali, Azerbaijani, Karim, (2001), A survey on Meritocracy system in selecting Public and Private Managers, Daneshe Modiriiat, Vol 14, NO 54, fall, 2001, pp.15-38.
- [2] Javadin, Seied Reza, (2001), Behaviour Analysis of Pupulation and Encouragment in Iran- Ericson Model, Danesh e Modiriiat, NO 54, Issue 14, Fall 2001 pp.39-54
- [3] Messemer, M, (2002), "Back to Basics: Recruiting and managing in today's Economy" Strategic Finance, 8, 4, 13-15, Info Trace One File Plus
- [4] Mir Sepasi, Naser, (2004), Strategic Human Resource Management and Work Relations- with a glance on Globalization Trend, Mir Publication
- [5] Nation Master, 2006, Iranian Labor Statistics, available at: http://www.nationmaster.com/country/ir-iran/lab-labor
- [6] Ryan, Ann Marie, Wiechmann ,Darin, Hemingway, Monica, (2003), Designing and Implementing Global Staffing Systems: Part two best practices, Human Resource Management, Spring 2003, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 85–94
- [7] Yilmaz, Nadir Kemal, Fang Lee Cooke, Rosita Dellios, (2008), Turkey's FDI Policy and Chinese Foreign Direct Investments in Turkey: Some Economic and Management Implications, Global Business Review, 9:1 (2008): 19–44
- [8] Wiechmann, Darin, Marie, Ann Ryan, Hemingway, Monica, (2003), Designing and Implementing Global Staffing Systems Leaders in Global Staffing, Human Resource Management, spring 2003, Vol. 42, No. 1, pp. 71–83